A) Given the provided data, what are three conclusions that we can draw about crowdfunding campaigns?

1. The percentage of successful campaigns exceeds the percentage of unsuccessful and canceled campaigns. Campaign success is greater than campaign failure and cancellation.
2. Most of the crowdfunding projects had objectives lower than 10,000. Easily achieve the goals.
3. The data of the backers of all campaigns is highly variable, with a wide range of values.

B) What are some limitations of this dataset?

1. Lack of campaigns with midrange goal value.
2. Data in difference currencies.
3. Missing data to uncover hidden trends.

C) What are some other possible tables and/or graphs that we could create, and what additional value would they provide?

* Simple Bar Chart: compare multiple values.
* Mekko graph: suitable for comparing groups and internal categories at the same time with normalized percentages.
* Multiple Bar Chart: compare multiple categories of values at once.
* Radar chart: use the shape of a web or web to express the relative influence of various numerical parameters.
* Histogram of bars: indicating the dispersion of the data by intervals.
* Histogram with distribution curve: showing the dispersion of the data by intervals, in addition to the trend line.
* Waterfall Chart: shows the static composition of a security with accumulation or deduction from the total.
* Pie chart: indicates the static composition of a security.
* 100% Area Plot: the area plot indicates the trend of data over time. The diagram shows how cumulative quantities change over a given period.
* Stacked Area Plot: indicates the trend of the data over time and also how different elements influence the total result. Therefore, it shows the distribution of the categories and the relationships between them in certain periods.